

January 29, 2016 - PlanMCP Workshop Comments (and preliminary responses)

NOTE:

- **Solid bullet point is note from Workshop**
 - *Open circle is Preliminary Response/Comment from Town Staff – this iteration prepared February 1, 2016*

General Comments

- Move facility closer to road – remove green space/playground and relocate on site
 - *Design and cost implications of that layout will be reviewed*
- Consider other alternatives – all very similar
 - *Will prepare a preferred alternative to respond to comments received*
- Consider traffic/pedestrian impact in and around park – connectivity with facilities, paths, parking, meadow lane
 - *Preferred alternative will incorporate additional pedestrian connectivity and necessary vehicular access (service, emergency)*
- Response to legal records request – plans, coolant mix, etc.
 - *Have passed this on to Town Clerk*
- Further describe planning and environmental process
 - *Will post process on website*
- The 1999 EIR included a wall to mitigate noise – where is the wall on all the plans?
 - *Preliminary designs will strive to eliminate the need for a wall*
- Concerns of snow storage on site by HOA's and parking lot
 - *Will communicate with HOAs regarding this situation for this spring's cleanup and next year's snow storage*
- Many property owners did not receive the letter but they have been there for many years – do we need to re-run the mailing list.
 - *Informed them to subscribe to Notify Me planMCP list*
 - *Will review the mailing list and add/correct as necessary*
- Who uses the facility?
 - *During meeting, a wide variety of users were identified. Programming discussions have identified numerous user groups in addition to those at meeting*
- Why isn't the Town pursuing the lease with the school
 - *Will post relevant agendas online*
 - *Town Council has directed staff that the School District lease will not be extended*
- "Let the kids play!"
- Goal to be a 4-season destination – this amenity will allow us to provide more recreation amenities for both visitors and locals
- "We need amenities!" Hosting World Fire and Police Games in February and they would like to use the facility.
- "A world class town needs world class amenities"

- What are the archeological impacts of the site?
 - *Will be studied during the environmental review process*
- Has the Town considered underground parking?
 - *No - Site is large enough to provide surface parking And underground parking is not cost-effective for this use*
- Has the Town considered the wind impact and orientation of the facility?
 - *Yes. Preferred alternative will incorporate measures to minimize weather issues*
- What happened to the planning for a pool?
 - *Plan Your Parks and other efforts considered this. Town Council has directed staff to include multi-use facility and community center for this site. Other options in Town to provide a public pool are being considered*
- Are there sufficient funds to make it aesthetically appealing? Don't want it to look like a "farm machinery shed!"
 - *At this time approximately \$2.3 million has been identified. The final recommendation to Town Council will considered the benefits of additional budget to enable architectural enhancements*
- Has a rounded roof been considered?
 - *It has not been ruled out*
- Have options to place additional facilities on the site (pool) been considered?
 - *Plan Your Parks and other efforts considered this. Town Council has directed staff to include multi-use facility and community center for this site. Other options in Town to provide a public pool, gym, recreation center may be considered*
- What are the operating hours of the facility?
 - *To be determined. Will be discussed during programming sessions*
- Remove 30 parking spaces – less parking, more park!
 - *Site plan is intended to demonstrate maximum design and potential impacts. Final determination of how many spaces will be provided will occur later. May be phased*

Alternative #1

- Can the chillers be placed at the other end of the facility?
 - *Preferred alternative will consider how to provide the best facilities for the community as a whole while mitigating environmental concerns*
- Continuation of the bike path on the south side of the property?
 - *Mammoth Creek Gap Closure (MUP from Minaret Road along south side of Mammoth Creek/north of Old Mammoth Road) Project has received funding*
- Move all facilities to the east
 - *Will be considered*
- Where does the Olympia dump snow?
 - *Will be included in preferred alternative*
- If the chiller walls were built at 70 degrees they would be far more efficient at mitigating noise
 - *Design of chiller enclosure will consider noise issues*
- Will there be access roads on the site?

- *Preferred alternative will include required emergency and service access routes. Sole Public vehicular access is expected to be from east parking lot*
- Will there be any access from Meadow Lane?
 - *Preferred alternative will include required emergency and service access routes that may include emergency/service access from west. Sole Public vehicular access is expected to be from east parking lot. Additional pedestrian/bicycle access may be considered from Meadow Lane to add to existing asphalt MUP*
- How will run-off be managed on the site?
 - *In accordance with Town requirements. Commitment in place to protect natural resources*
- Could parking be placed on the SE portion of the site?
 - *Parking in SE portion would require removal of a well-used, well-situated improved turf/rock area*
- Placement of berm on the NE site
- Preferred alternative may consider additional berms
- What concessions will be on site?
 - *To be determined during programming effort. Preference so far is to incorporate concessions into Community Center portion of project*
- Will alcohol be sold?
 - *At this time sales would likely be limited to special events. This subject will be presented to Town Council for discussion*
- Needs better connectivity to neighborhood
 - *Preferred alternative will incorporate ideas for additional connectivity*

Alternative #2

- This is the worst one
- Can equipment be stored underground?
 - *Will be discussed with consultant. Initial indications this would be operationally infeasible*
- What is the slope of the site – can it be lowered?
 - *Additional details on proposed site grading will be provided with preferred alternative. Site generally slopes west to east with about fourteen feet of elevation difference.*
- Needs better access from parking lot to facilities
 - *Preferred alternative will incorporate enhancements to access*

Alternative #3

- This is the better site for noise
 - *Preferred alternative will consider potential noise impacts*
- Has preferred views of the Sherwin's
 - *Preferred alternative will consider public views*
- Preferred orientation for solar and protection from sun

- *Preferred alternative will consider solar and protection from sun*
- Is there an option to have an indoor viewing area for people to watch the rink?
 - *Viewing areas within the contiguous portions of the proposed Community Center will be considered*
- Would like a locker room
 - *Preferred alternative will consider lockers*
- Who will be monitoring parking on Condominium properties – this is your impact, you should be responsible for controlling illegal parking
 - *Town expects to monitor parking on public streets and public parking areas. Parking on private property is not within the Town's jurisdiction*
- Can the facilities be flipped/mirrored?
 - *This will be discussed during the preparation of the preferred alternative*
- Move community center towards parking area to better connect with plaza and existing parking area and playground.
 - *Preferred alternative will consider this possibility*