March 18, 2016 - PlanMCP Workshop Comments/*Initial responses in Italics*

General Comments about Preferred Site Alternative

- Why can't the facility be fully enclosed? *It can be.*
    - *The structural components are intended to be designed to enable full enclosure should that decision be made at some point in the future.*
    - *The concept of an indoor/outdoor facility takes advantage of the natural setting and the openness from the multi-use area to the east and south. Additionally, events staged in, as an example, the northwest covered portion may be able to take advantage of the open area to the south for additional participants.*
- Does it need to be fully enclosed to operate efficiently?
    - *It is our understanding it does not. There are a number of factors that need to be considered. Based on discussions regarding ice conditions, the most critical factor is direct sunlight. It is expected the roof as shown will provide appropriate protection. A fully enclosed facility would require additional ventilation and other considerations, especially during the warmer summer months.*
- What can you do to increase operational efficiency to maintain quality ice in warm periods? *Our design team will include maximizing efficiencies in their efforts.*
    - More efficient chiller, or is two required? *We expect to open with our current chiller, refurbished and relocated. The conceptual design may include additional capacity for environmental assessment and documentation purposes.*
    - Will a mechanical analysis be conducted by peer reviews? *This will be strongly considered.*
    - What are the life cycle costs of the equipment? *This will be part of our design process.*
    - Is the goal to be zero net energy? *Energy efficiency will be considered at all times.*
- Have you considered energy use alternatives such as geothermal or solar? *The facility will be designed to facilitate the use of solar. Geothermal will be discussed as a possibility.*
- Is there a formal EIR being conducted? *Due to the amount of public interest, we have stated an EIR is the most likely environmental documentation alternative.*
- Why is there no berm shown in the alternative? *Our environmental consultant has not begun their technical studies, however, as the facility has been moved to the south and east, we are not yet certain a berm for noise mitigation will be required. Having said that, it has been noted a berm may be an interesting feature as a winter play area.*
- Have you considered designing the roof like the MCWD facility? *This comment relates to structural issues and enhanced snow shedding/drainage capability. We will consider alternatives that improve the functionality of the structure.*

In regards to the next three comments: One of the aims of this conceptual design process is to identify uses that may occur on the site at some point in the future. We cannot predict every possibility. As an example, however, it is likely the area along the west edge of the site will be utilized by residents and visitors, as it is today. We need to include analysis of some potential

uses to ensure the environmental documentation is as complete as possible. It is likely, therefore, we will include a number of possibilities to show this may be an active area, even if unimproved. Possibilities mentioned to date have included the berm mentioned above, a community garden, a dog park and a 'snoopy rink' – a small pond area that might be flooded and freeze naturally during the coldest months. We do not expect to encourage activities with greater impacts such as outdoor basketball courts in that area.

- Consider placing a community garden between facility and homeowners. *We expect to show a community garden in the area west of the proposed facility.*
- Consider placing a dog park on site. *We will consider showing a dog park in the west area.*
- The snoopy pond could be sited on the shaded side of the facility adjacent to the community center. *This will be considered.*
- Can the Community Center be elevated to look down into the facility? *Based on what we know of existing elevations, we will consider a finished floor elevation for the community center that is two to four feet above the remaining facilities.*
- Can the main wall between the two facilities be glass? *This will be considered.*
- Is there space for a pavilion in the park? *Yes.*
- Have you considered sun shade? *Yes.*