

# **Attachment 8**

**Advisory Design Panel Comment Letters,  
dated January 28, 2019 and February 20, 2019**



**COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**  
**P.O. Box 1609, Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546**  
**Phone (760) 965-3630 | Fax (760) 934-7493**  
**[www.townofmammothlakes.ca.gov](http://www.townofmammothlakes.ca.gov)**

---

January 28, 2019

RePlay Destinations  
Attn: Chris Heinrich  
17000 Wedge Parkway  
Reno, NV 89511  
[cheinrich@replaydestinations.com](mailto:cheinrich@replaydestinations.com)

Re: Yotelpad (Design Review 18-006)  
Location: 6040, 6042, 6060 Minaret Road  
APN: 033-043-002-000; 033-043-001-000; 033-043-003-000

Dear Mr. Heinrich:

The Mammoth Lakes Advisory Design Panel (ADP) has reviewed the submittal dated November 13, 2018 for the Yotelpad project. This letter addresses comments made at the ADP meeting on January 15, 2019.

The ADP members provided the following comments, which have been consolidated and organized based on comments applicable to site design, building design, and other design considerations:

**Site Design**

1. Pedestrian circulation is an issue and the project, as designed, is not consistent with the North Village Design Guidelines related to pedestrian connectivity. The front parking area impedes pedestrian connectivity to the Village and the visual of the parking lot and adjacent sidewalk as viewed from Main Street and Minaret Road appears as a “sidewalk in the middle of a freeway.” The pedestrian area on the corner will be limited to summer use only and will be oftentimes windy.
2. The southwest corner of the site is the most visible location in Town and to use it as a parking lot is a loss. Consideration should be given to developing that corner with hotel guest uses (i.e., lobby area or restaurant space) to animate that corner and add visual interest and provide a buffer between the street and the rest of the project.

The applicant proposed trying to incorporate smaller detached commercial buildings near the southwest corner of the site to bring the building to the street and provide pedestrian connectivity (*Note: Parking for detached commercial uses would need to be analyzed*). The ADP advised that any detached buildings would need to be integrated into the overall project design and should make an architectural statement.

3. The site is the gateway to the Village and that corner should be designed to welcome guests to the Village.
4. The number of surface parking spots should be reduced in order to reduce the size of the parking lot (*Note: a minimum of three check-in parking spots are required*).
5. The site design should take advantage of the existing vegetation around the site and preserve as many trees as possible, especially near the southwest corner of the site.
6. The project appears to have “landed” on the site and is not integrated into the natural slope of the site nor does it consider the transitions between adjacent properties. Some ideas for better integration into the site include: (1) using natural boulders that are stacked for retaining walls, rather than an engineered block retaining wall; (2) terracing or stepping the parking down; (3) terracing the landscaping up from the street with landscaped knolls; or (4) working the entire building into the grade.

7. Although not required, the Commercial Downtown zoning standards should be considered and the buildings should be better oriented to the street and the surface parking area should be reduced or relocated so that it is not visible. Allowing surface parking with the provision of adequate landscaping contradicts the goals of the Commercial zoning standards, which encourage surface parking areas to not be visible and be located behind or to the side of buildings.

### **Building Design**

8. The forms and mass of the building are too severe and are not broken up enough. The applicant could consider removing or varying some of the building modules to break up the massing.
9. The architecture should be reflective of Mammoth and not try to mimic other resort communities.
10. The materials and colors are appropriate, but the transitions between materials should be better thought out to break up the massing. The floor to ceiling stone on the townhomes appears daunting and cold. A wall on the front of the building comprised of one material and/or a raised roof/patio feature could break up the massing and create a more horizontal feel.
11. The roof form is too consistent and needs to be broken up more. This is an issue for both the townhomes and the hotel building.
12. The buildings need a better connection to the ground. This could be achieved with color and material (does not need to be stone) or through the use of a storefront type material/metal.
13. Colors should stay as neutral as possible and include greys and dark colors with natural wood tones added in. The color palette should avoid rich-browns and red-browns since they end up turning pink and avoid stone that has red tones since the high UV rays eliminate yellow and red. Natural stone should be used.
14. The combination of vertical and horizontal siding provides visual interest.
15. Consider using cement board siding with a natural wood appearance rather than natural wood cedar to provide for longevity and less maintenance. Use of natural wood cedar under the ceilings on the patios is appropriate since those areas do not receive direct sunlight.
16. The railing on the deck need additional detail and variation in the materials should be considered (i.e., not all pickets). The railing are an opportunity to dress up the building and provide visual interest.

### **Other Design Considerations**

17. Consideration should be given to whether the parking structure could be accessed from Main Street. Caltrans would need to weigh in on whether that would be approved and the grade change could be difficult to work with.
18. Service truck routes for deliveries and trash removal need to be well thought out and analyzed to ensure there will be limited impacts to surrounding businesses and streets. The applicant could consider a one-way route through the parking structure if Caltrans allowed for exiting onto Main Street. The applicant indicated that would cause additional visual impacts on the south side of the project and would require additional tree removal along Main Street.
19. The snow storage plan needs to address temporary snow storage areas and snow hauling. The applicant indicated that the courtyard will be heated.
20. The landscape plan should use native trees and use trees that are larger than five gallons. Landscaping on the southwest corner of the site could be a focal point and have additional landscape features (i.e., terracing, variety of trees and plants, sign) and a pedestrian pathway that meanders through that area and connects to the Main Street sidewalk.
21. The applicant asked staff to consider relocating the crosswalk closer to the gondola to try to discourage people from jaywalking across Minaret Road to access the gondola. Staff indicated that as the Village develops, the final location for the pedestrian infrastructure will be determined so that it best serves foot traffic.

Please respond to this letter in writing. Please provide responses in the same order they are shown in this letter. If changes have been made to the plans not resulting from this correction list please indicate the changes and purpose of the changes in your response.

Please provide the requested plans and materials or advise me in writing as to your intended submittal date within 60 days from the date of this letter. Where the total time to provide the additional materials is expected to take longer than 120 days, the application should be withdrawn and a re-submitted once the application is ready. Absent a response within 60 days, the Town will deem your application withdrawn and return your application materials and any unused portion of your application fee.

After the submittal in response to this letter has been received, staff will review the submittal for completeness and any applicable issues and schedule a meeting of the Advisory Design Panel. Please contact me at this office (760) 965-3631 if you have any questions.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Nolan Bobroff', written over a horizontal line.

Nolan Bobroff  
Associate Planner



**COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**  
**P.O. Box 1609, Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546**  
**Phone (760) 965-3630 | Fax (760) 934-7493**  
**[www.townofmammothlakes.ca.gov](http://www.townofmammothlakes.ca.gov)**

---

February 20, 2019

RePlay Destinations  
Attn: Chris Heinrich  
17000 Wedge Parkway  
Reno, NV 89511  
[cheinrich@replaydestinations.com](mailto:cheinrich@replaydestinations.com)

Re: Yotelpad (Design Review 18-006)  
Location: 6040, 6042, 6060 Minaret Road  
APN: 033-043-002-000; 033-043-001-000; 033-043-003-000

Dear Mr. Heinrich:

The Mammoth Lakes Advisory Design Panel (ADP) has reviewed the revised project plans dated February 6, 2019 for the Yotelpad project. This letter addresses comments made at the ADP meeting on February 14, 2019.

The ADP members reviewed and discussed the project and provided consensus on the following:

**Site Design**

1. Revise the site and building design features at the SW corner of the site to provide additional visual interest and enhance the pedestrian connections. Recommendations made by the ADP to accomplish this include:
  - a) Redesign the 1<sup>st</sup> floor roof canopy to provide a visual separation between the hotel and restaurant use. Some possible ideas to accomplish this include:
    - Providing a separation or gap in the canopy structure;
    - Raising a portion of the canopy structure;
    - Extending the canopy structure out further over a portion of the outdoor dining area; and/or
    - Incorporating a distinct design feature in a portion of the canopy.
  - b) Connect the lower multi-use patio area to the restaurant patio area to create a larger more flexible multi-use space and incorporate a roof structure or trellis to provide visual interest and provide a visual connection to the restaurant patio.
2. Eliminate the multiple pedestrian paths (i.e., path and stairs) in the SW corner and instead provide a direct pedestrian connection from the sidewalk along Minaret Road to the restaurant entrance.
3. Refine the landscaping design at the SW corner to provide more natural transitions and less harsh grade changes.
4. Soften the retaining walls on the east side through the use of natural boulders.

**Building Design**

5. Provide a separation between the ground floor units on the north side and the surface parking area in order to provide privacy for those units.
6. Use full thickness natural stone throughout.
7. Use a combination of glass and metal mesh materials for the deck railings to provide visual interest.

Please respond to this letter in writing. Please provide responses in the same order they are shown in this letter. If changes have been made to the plans not resulting from this correction list please indicate the changes and purpose of the changes in your response.

Please provide the requested plans and materials or advise me in writing as to your intended submittal date within 60 days from the date of this letter. Where the total time to provide the additional materials is expected to take longer than 120 days, the application should be withdrawn and a re-submitted once the application is ready. Absent a response within 60 days, the Town will deem your application withdrawn and return your application materials and any unused portion of your application fee.

After the submittal in response to this letter has been received, staff will review the submittal for completeness and will route the revised plans to the Advisory Design Panel. Any comments or recommendations received from the Advisory Design Panel in response to the revised plans will be included in the Commission staff report for the April 10<sup>th</sup> public hearing and/or addressed prior to the public hearing.

Please contact me at this office (760) 965-3631 if you have any questions.

Respectfully,



Nolan Bobroff  
Associate Planner